A Tactically Novel Alternative to Acyclic Stereoselection Based on the Concept of a Replicating Chiron - Access to 1,3-Polyols[†]

Stephen Hanessian*, Soumya P. Sahoo, Peter J. Murray Department of Chemistry, Université de Montréal Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3V1

<u>Summary</u> - (S)-Glutamic acid is used as a chiral template to construct seven carbon subunits containing alternating hydroxyl groups with stereochemical control. Enantiomeric and/or diastereomeric 3,5-dideoxy-heptitols are thus obtained.

Access to acyclic polyols, long considered the domaine of carbohydrate chemists has recently become possible through diverse strategies.¹ The attraction of a carbohydrate approach resides in its predictive power,² and the flexibility in the length of carbon chains (usually 3-7 carbon atoms). However, the necessity to manipulate hydroxyl and other functionality in order to achieve the desired level of deoxygenation and/or stereochemical convergence with an intended target structure has fostered renewed interest in preparing



 \dagger Dedicated to Prof. H.H. Wasserman on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

such polyols in stereocontrolled fashion from non-carbohydrate precursors. A great impetus in pursueing such studies arises from the occurrence of a number of natural products in which a 1,3-polyol substitution pattern is an important structural and functional feature.³ We describe in this paper a strategy that addresses this problem, based on the concept of a replicating chiron.⁴ Thus, as illustrated in Scheme 1, an enolate derived from a chiral butyrolactone derivative is used as a template for the stereocontrolled introduction of a hydroxyl group. A two-carbon chain-extension is now possible from either extremity to give diastereomeric or enantiomeric lactones respectively. Hydroxylation of the "replicated" lactones leads to heptitols having a predictable 1,3-substitution pattern.

The crystalline lactone $1,^{4-6}$ readily available from (S)-glutamic acid,⁷ among other sources⁸ was converted into its enolate, then treated with 1.1 equiv. of MoOPH⁹ to give the desired hydroxy derivative 2, mp 79-80°, $[\alpha]_D$ + 62.8°, easily separable from its epimer 2a (oil), in 80% yield (7:1 ratio respectively) (Scheme 2). The stereochemical integrity of 2a was ascertained by comparison with the product obtained from D-ribonolactone by an

Scheme 2



a. $LiN(TMS)_2$, THF, -78°, 30 min; then MoOPH, lh (80%); b. DIBAL, toluene, -78°, 3h, (88%); c. $Ph_3P=CHCOOBn$, cat C_6H_5COOH , CH_3CN , 12h, (80%); d. Pd/C, H_2 , EtOAc, lh; then ethyl(dimethylaminoethyl)carbodiimide HC1, DMAP, Et₂O (87%, 2 steps); e. t-butyldimethyl silyl chloride, pyridine, DMAP, 36h, (82%); f. NaBH₄, O°-R.T, THF/H₂O (3:1), lh, (88%); g. Ac₂O, pyr., DMAP, (90%); h. NaOMe/MeOH, O°, (quant.). unambiguous route.¹⁰ The efficient hydroxylation under quasi-stoichiometric conditions is noteworthy, since related reactions have been reported to require excess reagent.¹¹

At this point there were three options to consider for the synthesis of diastereomeric or enantiomeric polyols, depending on which extremity of 2 we chose to extend (Scheme 1). Thus, in route A, the lactone 2 was transformed into the lactol, and the corresponding ester 3 by conventional methodology. Hydrogenation and lactonization gave the replicated lactone 4, $[\alpha]_D$ +14.4° in high overall yield. Initial studies had indicated that the degree of stereoselection in the hydroxylation of lactone enolates was dependent on the steric bulk and nature of the substitutent in the side-chain.¹² Thus, hydroxylation of the dianion of 4 led to the dihydroxy lactone 6, $[\alpha]$ +29.7° (CHCl₃), and its epimer (4:1 ratio respectively, 76% yield). Hydroxylation of the enolate derived from the disilyl derivative 5, gave the hydroxy lactone 7 $[\alpha]_D$ +16.2° and its epimer (6:1 ratio respectively, 78%). Reduction of 6 led to the <u>syn</u>, <u>syn</u>-heptitol derivative 8. The tetraacetate 9 showed $[\alpha]_D$ -15.1° (CHCl₃).

Extension and replication through the terminal carbon atom (route B) was studied next. (Scheme 3) Thus, the triol 10 obtained from 2 was selectively protected to give the acetal derivative 11 $[\alpha]_D$ -8.6°.¹³ Mesylation, followed by treatment with fluoride ion effected



a. NaBH₄, 0°+R.T, THF/H₂O (3:1), lh, (76%); b. $(C_2H_5)_2$ CO, CSA, 4h. (82%); c. MsCl, pyridine, DMAP, l2h, (85%); d. Bu₄N⁺F⁻, THF, R.T, lh (87%); e. PhSCH₂CO₂H, LiN(TMS)₂, THF, 0°+R.T, add epoxide, l8h; f. ethyl(dimethylaminoethyl)carbodiimide HCl, DMAP, Et₂O (70%, 2 steps); g. Raney-nickel, MeOH; h. AcOH, H₂O (8:2), 4h; i. t-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride, imidazole, DMF, (81%, 3 steps); j. LiN(TMS)₂ (4 equiv.); MoOPh (1.2 equiv.), THF, -78° (78%); k. NaBH₄, THF-H₂O (3:1), lh (70%); l. Ac₂O, pyr., DMAP, CH₂Cl₂ (88%).

the expected sequential desilylation and epoxidation to give 12, $[\alpha]_D$ +11.8°. Opening of the epoxide 4 with dilithiophenylthioacetate, 14 followed by lactonization gave 14, which after adjustment of protecting groups led to the replicated lactone 15, $[\alpha]_D$ +24.7° (CHCl₂). Hydroxylation of the resulting enolate gave the dihydroxy derivative 16, $[\alpha]_D$ +44.2° (CHCl₃) and its epimer 16a (2.5:1 ratio respectively, 78%). Finally, reduction of 16 led to the syn, anti-heptitol derivative 18, $[\alpha]_{D}$ +18.3° (CHCl₃). As in the diastereomeric series (Scheme 2), hydroxylation of the t-butyldimethylsilyl derivative of 15 gave corresponding the 2-hydroxy derivatives (α,β ratio, 8:1); [α]_D +55.2° (CHC1₃) for the major isomer.

It is clear from the above results that the strategy for 1,3-polyol formation is versatile and lends itself to stereochemical and structural maneuvrability. For example, application of the epoxide opening sequence via route C (Scheme 1) should give products enantiomeric with those derived from route A. Furthermore, the chain-lengthening process, subsequent lactonization and hydroxylation can, in principle, be reiterated giving replicated lactones to ultimately produce stereoregular $5+2^n$ 1.3 polyol units.

Acknowledgements. We thank the National Engineering and Scientific Council of Canada and Le Ministère de l'éducation du Québec for financial assistance. We thank summer undergraduate research participant, Roger Léger for technical assistance.

- References
- See for example, a. B. Lipshutz and J.A. Kozlowski, J. Org. Chem., 49, 1149 (1984); b. 1. S. Masamune, P.Ma, H. Okumoto, J.W. Ellingboe and Y. Ito, J. Org. Chem., 49, 2837 (1984); c. S. Kiyooka, H. Sasaoka, R. Fujiyama and C.H. Heathcock, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 5331 (1984); d. W.C. Still and J.C. Barrish, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 2487 (1983); e. T. Nakata, S. Takoo, M. Fukui, T. Tamaka and T. Oishi, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 3873 (1983); A. Bongini, C. Cardillo, M. Orena, G. Porzi and S. Sandri, J. Org. Chem., <u>47</u>, 4626 (1982); f. M. Hirama and H. Uei, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 5307 (1982); g.T. Katsuki, A.W.M. Lee, P.Ma, V.S. Martin, S. Masamune, K.B. Sharpless, D. Tuddenham and F.J. Walker, J. Org. Chem., 47, 1378 (1982); h. G.D. McGarvey and M. Kimura, J. Org. Chem., 47, 5420 (1982); i. P.A. Bartlett and K.K. Jernstedt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4829 (1977).
- S. Hanessian, in "Total Synthesis of Natural Products. The Chiron Approch", J.E. 2. Baldwin, ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, 1983. G.J.McGarvey, M. Kimura, T.
- Ohend, J. N. Williams, J. Carbohydr. Chem., <u>3</u>, 125 (1984). See for example, Amphotericin B, P. Ganis, G. Aritabile, W. Mechlinski, and C.P. Schaffner, 3.
- 4.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>93</u>,4560 (1981) and references cited therein, see also ref. lb. S. Hanessian, P.J. Murray and S.P. Sahoo, Tetrahedron Lett., preceding papers. See for example, S. Kano, S. Shibuya, T. Ebata, <u>Heterocycles</u>, <u>14</u>,661 (1980); J.P. Vigneron, R. Méric, M. Larcheveque, A. Debal, J.Y. Lallemand, G. Junesch, P. Tagatti, 5. M. Gallois, Tetrahedron, 40, 3521 (1984); U. Ravid, R.M. Silverstein, R.M. Smith, Tetrahedron, 34,1449 (1978); M. Taniguchi, K. Koga, S.Yamada, Tetrahedron, 30,3547 (1974).
- 6. For the utilization of optically active 4-hydroxymethyl- γ -butyrolactone as a starting material in the synthesis of a variety of natural products, see K. Koga, M. Taniguchi, S. Yamada, Tetrahedron Lett., 263 (1971); K. Mori, Tetrahedron, 31, 3011 (1975); L.R. Smith, H.J. Williams and R.M. Silverstein, Tetrahedron Lett., 3231 (1978); J.P. Robin, O. Gringore, E. Brown, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 2709 (1980), see also ref. 9 and references cited therein.
- 7.
- 8.
- L.R. Smith, H.J. Williams, J. Chem. Ed., 56, 696 (1979), see also ref. 5,6. S. Takano, E. Goto, M. Hirama, K. Ogasawara, Heterocycles, 16, 951 (1981); P. Camps, J. Cardellach, J. Font, R.M. Ortuno, O. Ponsati, Tetrahedon, 38, 2395 (1982). E. Vedejs, D.-A. Engler and J.E. Telschow, J. Org. Chem., 43, 188 (1978).
- 9.
- 10. S.V. Atwood and A.G.M. Barrett, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1315 (1984).
- T.R. Kelly, N.S. Chandrakumar, J.D. Cutting, R.R. Goehring and F.R. Weibel, Tetrahedron 11. Lett., 26, 2173 (1985).
- For example, hydroxylation of the enolate derived from the desulfurized 12.
- lactone 14 (Scheme 3) gave approximately a 4:1 mixture of hydroxy lactones.
- 13. For the use of 3-pentanone in 1,3-dioxolane formation, see ref. lb.
- 14. K. Iwai, M. Iwai, H. Kosugi and H. Uda, Chem. Lett., 385 (1974).

(Received in USA 18 July 1985)